

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/973,031	10/09/2001	Dale F. McIntyre	83194F-P 5074			
Milton S. Sales	7590 05/18/2007		EXAM	INER		
Patent Legal St	Patent Legal Staff			CARTER, MONICA SMITH		
Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			3722			
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/18/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/973,031	MCINTYRE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Monica S. Carter	3722		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	T			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
	Monica S. Carter	3722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 12 April 2007. A brief i	n compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 m	nust be filed within two	o months of the			
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS	y must be filed within the time pend	a set forth in 37 CFR	41.37(a).			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	hut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	0031160			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TF helow).	ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		55.51.7,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	<ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Co</li></ol>	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			_			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	L will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>33</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-12 and 32</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a			
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu     The examiner maintains the previous rejections.	t does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowar	nce because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
3 Other						
		Monica S. Carter	. Carter			
·		Monica S. Carter				
·		SPE				
		Art Unit: 3722				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)